



Safe Removal of Amalgam Fillings

Dentists all over the world remove millions of amalgam fillings every day, with no regard for the possible mercury exposure that can result from grinding them out. Most of the time, a new amalgam filling goes back in place of the old one. The dental establishment claims that amalgam is a stable material, that emits little or no mercury, but then turns around and blames the mercury-free dentists for “unnecessarily exposing patients to excess mercury” when removing amalgams electively. Well, which is it? Stable, or mercury emitting?

We know beyond any doubt that amalgam emits mercury, as elaborated in the related article, “The Scientific Case Against Amalgam.” Finished amalgam on the bench at 37⁰C will emit as much as 43.5µg of mercury vapor per square centimeter of surface area per day, for extended periods of time.¹ Cutting the amalgam with a dental bur produces very small particles with vastly increased surface area, and vastly increased potential for subjecting the people present to a mercury exposure. In fact, in a recently published experiment, volunteers with no amalgam fillings swallowed capsules of milled amalgam particles, and, sure enough, their blood mercury levels increased.² These authors concluded that “the GI uptake of mercury from amalgam particles is of quantitative importance.” Molin, et. al. demonstrated a three to four fold increase in plasma mercury, and a 50% rise in urine mercury for a month following amalgam removal in ten subjects, after which their mercury burden began to decline.³ Snapp, et. al.⁴ showed that efforts to reduce mercury exposure during amalgam removal resulted in less uptake of mercury than that cited in the Molin study.

Stories abound concerning patients having adverse reactions – getting sick – following removal of amalgam fillings, whatever they are replaced with, although there is no established scientific literature on the subject. The mercury free dentists of the world have been acutely aware of the excess exposure problem, and have devised a number of strategies for reducing the amount of mercury exposure to both patients and dental staff during amalgam removal. This chapter will cover the physical methods, the barrier and ventilation techniques, while a related article will deal with “biological support,” nutritional methods to support the anti-oxidant and excretory systems that are stressed by heavy metal exposure. The techniques in this chapter have been checked with the aid of the Jerome mercury vapor detector by IAOMT members, and found to reduce mercury vapor in the air that the patients and dental staff breathe. Even though it has not been tested experimentally and published in peer reviewed journals, experience indicates that when the dentist fastidiously reduces mercury exposure while removing amalgams, the patients report fewer episodes of feeling sick afterwards.

However, please bear in mind that the material presented here is intended strictly as a set of suggestions. A licensed practitioner must make up his or her own mind concerning specific treatment options.

Cut and chunk, keep it cool

Most of these suggestions are simple and obvious, common sense physical means of reducing exposure. If you remove an old amalgam by slicing across it and dislodging big chunks, you will aerosolize less of the contents than if you grind it all away. If you keep it under a constant water spray while cutting, you will keep the temperature down, and reduce the vapor pressure within the mercury.

Suction!

Your best tool for removing mercury vapor from the operating field is your high volume evacuation (HVE). Keep it going next to the patient's tooth until you are finished with the removal and clean-up process. But check to see where in your office it discharges. If the vacuum pump discharges into an open trap or through its own base, you could be pumping mercury vapor into your utility room or lab.⁵ (See also the Environmental Impact chapter for mercury separators for your suction system, to remove the amalgam particulates and dissolved mercury before they are discharged into the wastewater.)

A highly effective HVE adjunct is the "Clean-Up" suction tip, which has an enclosure at the end that surrounds the tooth you're working on. It dramatically reduces the spatter of particles, directing them efficiently into the suction tube. "Clean-Up" is available from Bioprobe, Inc. (800-282-9670). (*Disclosure: Bioprobe, Inc. is owned by an IAOMT member and his family.*)

Rubber dam or no rubber dam?

Some dentists hate rubber dams, while others can't live without them. Reduced exposure amalgam removal can be done either way.

A rubber dam will help contain the majority of the debris of amalgam grinding, among its many other benefits. But you must know that mercury vapor will diffuse right through it, and some of the particulates will often sneak past it. So:

- Always use a saliva ejector behind the dam to evacuate air that may contain mercury vapor.
- Rinse the dam well as you go, because amalgam particles left on it will emit mercury from your garbage can. (If you wipe your dirty mirror on a gauze square or the patient's bib, that gray smear also emits quite a lot of mercury vapor!)
- As soon as the amalgams are out, remove the dam and thoroughly rinse the patient's mouth before placing the new restorations. It can take as much as sixty seconds of rinsing to fully remove the mercury vapor. Search for gray particles. If there are particles on the back of the tongue, have the patient sit up and gargle them out.

If you don't use a rubber dam, you must be vigilant with the HVE, and take frequent breaks to thoroughly rinse the field. Either way, the "Clean-Up" suction tip reduces the dispersion of particulates in the area.



Basic patient barriers

Supplemental air

Provide the patient with piped in air, so they do not have to breathe the air directly over the mouth during amalgam removal. A nitrous oxide nose hood, or a similar ventilation device, is probably more effective at isolating the incoming air than a nasal cannula.

Cover the skin

Covering the patient's face with a barrier will prevent spattered amalgam particles from landing on the skin, or the eyes. The barrier can be as simple as a moist paper towel, or as elaborate as a surgical drape.

Maintain clean air in the operatory

Mercury vapor generated by removing amalgams disperses in the air of the operatory, leading to exposure of the doctor and staff. Beyond opening the window, here are some strategies for mitigating the problem:

Filtration: A charcoal filter on your room air cleaner will help a bit. More effective systems add negative ion generators to enhance the removal of metallic vapors. The "Tact-Air" is a stand alone filtration unit that combines HEPA, charcoal and negative ion filters (905-842-2573). American Environmental Systems (303-449-3670) makes a negative ion system for industrial clean-rooms that can be unobtrusively installed, and left on all the time. Other sources and suppliers can be found on the Web.



Taking mercury vapor seriously while removing amalgam.

Supplementary evacuation: Simply moving air away from the operative field can be effective in reducing mercury exposure, and some offices have installed creatively designed mechanisms. One IAOMT member had the central vacuum cleaner in his office vented to the exterior of the building. The patients hold the vacuum hose under their chins as he removes their amalgam fillings, resulting in zero mercury vapor detectable in the room.

Mercury filtration respirator: For added safety, the dentist and assistant can use a Bureau of Mines certified mercury filtering respirator when grinding on amalgams. The “MSM Comfo-II” model is available from the IAOMT office for this purpose (863-420-6373). The 3M company makes a charcoal filter dust mask that is also rated for mercury vapor. It is available from many industrial supply sources.

© IAOMT, November, 2002. by Stephen M. Koral, DMD

¹ Chew, CL; Soh, G; Lee, AS; Yeoh, TS. Long-term dissolution of mercury from a non-mercury-releasing amalgam. Clin Prev Dent. 13(3): 5-7. (1991)

² Geijerstam, E; Sandborgh-Englund, G; Jonsson, F; Ekstrand, J. Mercury uptake and kinetics after ingestion of dental amalgam. J Dent Res. 80: 1793-1796 (2001)

³ Molin, M; Bergman, B; Marklund, SL; Schutz, A; Skerfving, S. Mercury, selenium, and glutathione peroxidase before and after amalgam removal in man. Acta Odontol Scand 48(3): 189-202 (1990)

⁴ Snapp, KR; et al. The Contribution of Dental Amalgam to Mercury in Blood. J Dent Res, 68(5):780-5, 1989.

⁵ Stonehouse, CA; Newman, AP. Mercury vapour release from a dental aspirator. Brit Dental J. 190:558-560 (2001)

ELECTRICAL ORDER

The practice of measuring electrical currents from metallic restorations, and removing them sequentially, starting with the most electrically active and ending with the least, is interesting and potentially valuable. Certainly, oral galvanism produces electric currents and potentials orders of magnitude greater than the body experiences physiologically. The concept of carefully disassembling this electrical structure seems to make sense, and some practitioners insist this technique is essential to reduce the incidence of adverse reactions to amalgam removal. But until there is scientific evidence to support this claim, it remains Clinical Folklore!